

MINUTES
Board of Supervisors
County of Prince George, Virginia

November 10, 2020

County Administration Bldg. Boardroom, Third Floor
6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia

MEETING CONVENED. A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George, Virginia, was called to order at 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, October 27, 2020 in the Boardroom, County Administration Building, 6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia by Donald R. Hunter, Chairman.

ATTENDANCE. The following members responded to Roll Call:

Donald R. Hunter, Chairman	Present
Alan R. Carmichael, Vice-Chairman	Present
Floyd M. Brown, Jr.	Present
Marlene J. Waymack	Present
T. J. Webb	Present

Also present was: Percy C. Ashcraft, County Administrator; Jeff Stoke, Deputy County Administrator; Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator; Julie Walton, Deputy County Administrator; and Dan Whitten, County Attorney.

CLOSED SESSION

E-1. Resolution; Closed Session for (i) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a specific public officers, appointees or employee of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) Crater Regional Workforce Development Board, (b) Industrial Development Authority, and (c) Board of Equalization; (ii) Section 2.2-3711.A.3 for discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; such discussion shall be limited to acquisition of property for the Utilities Department; (iii) Section 2.2-3711.A.7 – Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation or briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to a USERRA claim, and; (iv) Section 2.2-3711.A.8 – Consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) a sewer backup claim, and (b) Riverside Criminal Justice Authority. Mrs. Waymack made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb, that the Board convene closed session for (i) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a specific public officers, appointees or employee of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited

to (a) Crater Regional Workforce Development Board, (b) Industrial Development Authority, and (c) Board of Equalization; (ii) Section 2.2-3711.A.3 for discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; such discussion shall be limited to acquisition of property for the Utilities Department; (iii) Section 2.2-3711.A.7 – Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation or briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to a USERRA claim, and; (iv) Section 2.2-3711.A.8 – Consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) a sewer backup claim, and (b) Riverside Criminal Justice Authority.

R-20-163

E-1.

RESOLUTION; CLOSED SESSION FOR (I) SECTION 2.2-3711.A.1 – DISCUSSION OR CONSIDERATION OF THE ASSIGNMENT, APPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, PERFORMANCE, DEMOTION, SALARIES, DISCIPLINING OR RESIGNATION OF A SPECIFIC PUBLIC OFFICERS, APPOINTEES OR EMPLOYEE OF THE PUBLIC BODY; I FURTHER MOVE THAT SUCH DISCUSSION SHALL BE LIMITED TO (A) CRATER REGIONAL WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD, (B) INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, AND (C) BOARD OF EQUALIZATION; (II) SECTION 2.2-3711.A.3 FOR DISCUSSION OR CONSIDERATION OF THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY FOR A PUBLIC PURPOSE, OR OF THE DISPOSITION OF PUBLICLY HELD REAL PROPERTY, WHERE DISCUSSION IN AN OPEN MEETING WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE BARGAINING POSITION OR NEGOTIATING STRATEGY OF THE PUBLIC BODY; SUCH DISCUSSION SHALL BE LIMITED TO ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY FOR THE UTILITIES DEPARTMENT; (III) SECTION 2.2-3711.A.7 – CONSULTATION WITH LEGAL COUNSEL PERTAINING TO ACTUAL OR PROBABLE LITIGATION, WHERE SUCH CONSULTATION OR BRIEFING IN OPEN MEETING WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE NEGOTIATING OR LITIGATING POSTURE OF THE PUBLIC BODY; I FURTHER MOVE THAT SUCH DISCUSSION SHALL BE LIMITED TO A USERRA CLAIM, AND; (IV) SECTION 2.2-3711.A.8 – CONSULTATION WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SPECIFIC LEGAL MATTERS REQUIRING THE PROVISION OF LEGAL ADVICE BY SUCH COUNSEL; I FURTHER MOVE THAT SUCH DISCUSSION SHALL BE LIMITED TO (A) A SEWER BACKUP CLAIM, AND (B) RIVERSIDE CRIMINAL JUSTICE AUTHORITY

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, does hereby vote to enter closed session for (i) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a specific public officers,

appointees or employee of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) Crater Regional Workforce Development Board, (b) Industrial Development Authority, and (c) Board of Equalization; (ii) Section 2.2-3711.A.3 for discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; such discussion shall be limited to acquisition of property for the Utilities Department; (iii) Section 2.2-3711.A.7 – Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation or briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to a USERRA claim, and; (iv) Section 2.2-3711.A.8 – Consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) a sewer backup claim, and (b) Riverside Criminal Justice Authority.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Brown, Waymack, Webb, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

E-2. Resolution; Certification of Closed Session. At 6:02 p.m., Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, that the Board adjourn the closed session and enter open session, certifying that to the best of each Board Members' knowledge (1) only public business lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements were discussed and (2) only matters identified in the convening motion were discussed. Chairman Hunter asked if any Board member knew of any matter discussed during the closed session that was not announced in its convening legislation. Hearing no comment from the Board, the Chairman asked that the roll be called on the motion.

R-20-163A

E-2.

RESOLUTION; CERTIFICATION OF CONTENTS OF CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO SEC. 2.2-3711, ET SEQ., CODE OF VIRGINIA (1950, AS AMENDED)

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby certify that, to the best of each Board Member's knowledge, (1) only public business lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements where discussed, and (2) only matters identified in the convening motion were discussed.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Brown, Waymack, Webb, Hunter, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

WORK SESSION

Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, stated that plans for the new elementary school on Middle Road were reviewed by the Engineering & Utilities Department. The review resulted in concerns that may require considerable revisions to the school layout. These concerns include the proximity of the school to the water tank and access to the tank site. Prior to acting on those concerns, a reputable tank maintenance contractor, Suez, was consulted to understand the potential cost implications to the Utility should the layout remain as presented. Suez's response indicates the layout requires alternative measures that create additional costs to the utility. Therefore, the comments provided during the site plan review must be addressed to ensure there are no additional costs to the utility to maintain the tank. Maintenance activities occur each week, and often daily, that require unrestricted access to the tank site. The school layout requires access through the school grounds to access the tank site. This is not an acceptable layout as it would hinder the ability of the Utility to maintain their critical asset. Unrestricted access off school grounds must be provided. Based on the additional costs identified by Suez, these costs could result in higher rates to the utility customers. Therefore, prior to any approval of the current layout, it is requested to gain support of the Board to potentially raise the rates of utility customers to accommodate the current layout. Mr. Haltom stated that the containment will potentially be required for overcoat and abrasive painting due to the School with an expected cost of \$150,000 per occurrence. There are plans to paint the water tower in January 2021, prior to the building of the School. In the years to come, the School Division stated that they understand that additional measures may be necessary during the painting process due to the close proximity of the School and they are willing to fund these additional costs. Mr. Webb stated that as discussed before, these are just estimates and may not necessarily be that amount. In addition, there was discussion regarding heavy equipment causing damage to the parking lot. The School Division has stated that the pavement will be designed for heavy equipment access similar to that on Middle Road. Mr. Webb stated that it should be able to sustain a crane when needed if the road is built to VDOT standards. Mr. Haltom provided the Board with options including, (1) an agreement between the School Board and the County to required the schools to pay the additional costs of maintenance and repairs to the tank due the vicinity of the building and parking lot; (2) the Board of Supervisors acknowledges the additional costs to the future utilities budget and will address with future rate increase; or (3) require Schools Design Team to revise the layout of the School to avoid additional costs to the utility. Mr. Brown stated that he would be in favor of building the additional costs into the utilities budget so not to delay the School or have the School Division pay additional costs. The Board agreed. Dr. Lisa Pennycuff, School Superintendent, introduced Steve Raugh, Engineer with Timmons Group, who presented to the Board Site plan drawings including revisions to resolve the concerns included in the previous comments. Some of these revisions included temporary fencing around the bus loop, graveled areas to support a crane, and a possible location to extend the access to the water tank further to the east of the bus loop possibly with signage and marking the road. Mr. Raugh added that communication between the Utilities Department and the School Division for water tank maintenance will help as well. Mr. Webb stated that he likes the idea of signage and marking the road. Chris Johnson, School Board Chairman, thanked the Board of Supervisors for taking the time to listen to them and always being helpful. He stated that his Staff and the architects and engineers have worked really hard on this project and he appreciates the Board's cooperation with them.

Mr. Michael Purvis, General Services Director, stated that on September 22, the Circuit Court Chief Judge, The Honorable Edward Tomko, proposed to the County renovations to the Circuit Courtroom and its lobby on the second floor of the Courthouse. Some of these renovations include, (1) increase seating in the jury box and move the access to the jury box to better facilitate flow and social distancing; (2) modifications to the bench to allow more room for the Clerk and increase the area for the jury; (3) reduce the size of the current witness box; (4) new surfaces on counsel tables and other flat surfaces in the Courtroom; (5) new furniture to include seating both in the hallway and in the courtroom with an emphasis on using materials that could be easily disinfected; (6) new lighting and soundproof panels; (7) new electronics to include a speaker system; and (8) new paint and carpet throughout to include the courtroom, lobby, hallway and jury assembly room. Mr. Purvis stated that he is seeking direction from the Board to proceed. The estimated cost of a scope from an architect would be about \$5000. Mr. Brown asked if CARES funding could have been used for this had they known about it earlier. Ms. Betsy Drewry, Finance Director, stated that yes, we likely would have been able to purchase some of the furnishings with surfaces that can be wiped and glass for tables with CARES funding. Mrs. Waymack stated that it should not be a rush since jury trials are going to be potentially held at The Beacon Theatre anyway. The Board agreed to have Mr. Purvis move forward with a scope estimate just to see what the potential costs would be.

A-4. Discussion of Christmas Lights. Mr. Percy Ashcraft, County Administrator, stated that it is expected the holidays will look a little different for everyone with the presence of the coronavirus and County Government is no different. Neighboring localities have already canceled their Christmas parades, citing large crowds could create a “spreader event” for the coronavirus. As a result, Staff is recommending that they cancel the Christmas Parade and the Tree Lighting Ceremony this year, but to decorate the County Complex as in recent years with expanded lighting and decorations. The Board agreed.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR’S COMMENTS

Mr. Percy Ashcraft, County Administrator, gave a COVID-19 Update stating that County Government Offices remain open and he believes Prince George County Government and its citizens has and continues to handle the situation in an exceptional manner. The public continues to be greeted at the door and temperature tests taken with new computerized technology. The requirement of wearing a mask exists under all conditions; County employees inside of buildings will wear a mask when interacting with the public. 1,309 confirmed cases of the coronavirus and five deaths have been reported in Prince George County according to the Crater Health District statistics through September 21; two County employees - the Sheriff’s Office and County Administration - have tested positive and both have since returned to work. As of November 6, there have been 2,769 Prince George residents who have filed new unemployment claims since March 14; 222 claims are continuous. The unemployment rate for September was 6.3 percent. Prince George Food Bank representatives continue to see an increase in visitors since non-essential businesses have closed. Donations are necessary to keep up with the increasing demand for services. Governor Northam has agreed to supply Virginia Food Banks with additional funding through the CARES Act. The Small Business Grant Program and citizens’ relief through Social Services Department are wrapping up. The next testing site in Prince George County is the Central Wellness Center on November 14 from 10-11:30 a.m. Testing is for people experiencing symptoms to the coronavirus. Drive-ups only. The fitness center at the

Parks & Recreation Community Center is open by appointment only, while the Central Wellness facility will remain closed. All outdoor Recreation sports have been canceled to align with the decision made by the Virginia High School League (VHSL) for school sports. The VHSL Board of Directors voted September 17 to begin winter sports on December 7 and Prince George Parks and Recreation will begin registration for basketball soon. Renovations to the Central Wellness Center will begin approximately November 17. This will require complete closure of the building to the public except the area occupied by the Prince George Food Bank. Notification to tenants and the general public will be made this week. Information pertaining to the 2020 Census was reported by 73.2 percent of Prince George residents. The national average is 67 percent and the state average is 71.5 percent. Prince George Electric has extended broadband to 996 homes in Prince George County, with another 60 connections expected this week. Planning is underway for Prince George County hosting the 2021 Dixie Softball World Series next summer.

Chairman Hunter called a recess at 6:50 pm. The meeting reconvened at 7:00 pm.

Invocation. Mr. Brown gave the Board's invocation.

Pledge of Allegiance to U.S. Flag. Eagle Scouts Jacob Lively, Gavin McGee, and Reece Weber led the Pledge of Allegiance to the U.S. Flag.

PUBLIC COMMENTS. Chairman Hunter announced that anyone wishing to come before the Board may do so at this time. He noted that this was the time for unscheduled general public comments. He opened the public comments at 7:04 p.m.

Mr. William Steele (9925 County Line Road). Mr. Steele stated on August 11, the Board authorized a \$1.3 million expenditure that was not included in the FY21. At that time, the question was posed what will the Board remove from the budget to make up for this expenditure. He said that at the time, Mr. Hunter and Mr. Brown stated that there needed to be some cuts with Mrs. Waymack and Mr. Webb agreeing. Mr. Steele state that there have been six Board meetings since then and the public has yet to hear where these cuts are coming from. In addition, he stated that over \$25,000 was spent in Christmas decorations last year and now the Administrator is asking them to expand upon it. He reminded the public that the money they are wasting does not belong to them and they should show some constraint against wasteful spending.

There was no one else to speak and the public comments period hearing was closed at 7:08 p.m.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, to adopt the agenda as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

ORDER OF CONSENSUS. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack, that the consensus agenda be approved as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

C-1. Draft Minutes – October 27, 2020 Regular Meeting minutes were approved as presented.

R-20-164

C-2.

RESOLUTION: COMMENDATION; JACOB LIVELY;
ATTAINMENT OF EAGLE SCOUT

WHEREAS, Jacob Lively has achieved his Eagle Scout rank, through Boy Scout Troop 900 here in Prince George. The rank of Eagle Scout is scouting's highest honor. Jacob's court of honor was held on October 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, Jacob's Eagle Project, Richard's Remedy Bags, consisted of him partnering with VCU's Acute Care Oncology department to create "care bags" for adult patients going through chemotherapy. These bags consisted of many items to make their stay at the hospital more comfortable. He collects enough supplies to give a bag to every patient on the floor, and to have enough to do it again. Jacob spent nearly 100 hours on this project in service to his community. He completed this project in January of 2020; and

WHEREAS, Jacob started his scouting career in first grade as a Tiger Cub. He then continued and earned his Arrow of Light in fifth grade. This is the highest award a Cub Scout can achieve; and

WHEREAS, Jacob started his Boy Scout years in 2014 when he crossed over into Boy Scouts. Since then, Jacob has earned 47 merit badges and became a brotherhood member in the Order of the Arrow; and

WHEREAS, Jacob is a senior at Prince George High School and plans to continue his education in the Fall to pursue a college degree in cyber security; and

WHEREAS, Jacob Lively's dedicated, conscientious participation and leadership in community and humanitarian activities are recognized and saluted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, hereby commends and congratulates Jacob Lively on attainment of the rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America including his dedicated volunteer work achievements in the community.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That this Board wishes Jacob Lively much success and happiness as he pursues his future goals.

R-20-164A

C-3.

RESOLUTION: COMMENDATION; GAVIN MCGEE;

ATTAINMENT OF EAGLE SCOUT

WHEREAS, Gavin McGee has been a member of Troop 900 here in Prince George since 2014, and achieved the rank of Eagle Scout, Scouting's highest rank, on March 4, 2020. Gavin's Eagle Court of Honor was conducted on October 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, Gavin's Eagle Project consisted of installing reflective bands on over 350 fire hydrants within the County, benefiting local firefighters and the residents of Prince George by making the hydrants more visible at night. Not only did the bands make the fire hydrants easier to see at night, but they are also color-coded based on the flow rate of each hydrant; and

WHEREAS, During his time in scouting, Gavin achieved the Arrow of Light Award which is the highest award in Cub Scouts. As a Boy Scout, Gavin earned 33 merit badges and the President's Volunteer Service Award. He is also a Brotherhood member in the Order of the Arrow; and

WHEREAS, Gavin is a senior at Prince George High School and plans to attend college in the Fall; and

WHEREAS, Gavin McGee's dedicated, conscientious participation and leadership in community and humanitarian activities are recognized and saluted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, hereby commends and congratulates Gavin McGee on attainment of the rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America including his dedicated volunteer work achievements in the community.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That this Board wishes Gavin McGee much success and happiness as he pursues his future goals.

R-20-164B

C-4.

RESOLUTION: COMMENDATION; REECE WEBER;
ATTAINMENT OF EAGLE SCOUT

WHEREAS, Reece Weber has earned the rank of Eagle Scout. He has been a member of Troop 900 here in Prince George since 2014. He achieved the rank of Eagle Scout in September of this year. Reece's Eagle Court of Honor was conducted on October 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, Reece chose an Eagle Scout project that would help the church he grew up in. His project was to work with the church to update the two main bathroom facilities in the Church's center. The church had already planned on replacing the floors because of damage and

staining. So Reece thought he could help by refurbishing, repairing and replacing other aspects of the bathrooms; and

WHEREAS, During his time in scouting, Reece achieved the Arrow of Light Award which is the highest award in Cub Scouts. As a Boy Scout, Reece earned 38 merit badges and the President's Volunteer Service Award. Reece was elected to the order of the arrow, but spent most of his scouting career working within his troop; and

WHEREAS, Reece is a senior at Prince George High School and plans to pursue a degree in cyber security and computer code writing in the Fall; and

WHEREAS, Reece Weber's dedicated, conscientious participation and leadership in community and humanitarian activities are recognized and saluted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, hereby commends and congratulates Reece Weber on attainment of the rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America including his dedicated volunteer work achievements in the community.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That this Board wishes Reece Weber much success and happiness as he pursues his future goals.

R-20-164C

C-5.

**RESOLUTION: COMMENDATION; FIRE MEDIC BRUCE G. ADAMS;
SERVICE TO PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY**

WHEREAS, on JULY 16, 2002, Bruce G. Adams began his employment with the County of Prince George as an EMT/Cardiac Tech/Firefighter in the Fire & EMS department; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Adams became a Paramedic on October 25, 2005 and was reclassified as EMT/Paramedic/Firefighter on November 1, 2005; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Adams served as an Interim Lieutenant from November 20, 2011 through August 27, 2014 for the department; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Adams was reclassified as a Fire Medic I on July 1, 2019 and continued in this capacity until he retired on November 1, 2020.

WHEREAS, Bruce G. Adams served as the infection control officer for the department and was tasked with annually updating the OSHA-required plans; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Adams received a Unit Citation award in 2014 for actions taken while treating the subject of an accidental, self-inflicted gunshot wound. Mr. Adams also received an EMS Life Save Award in 2015 for saving the life of a citizen that was ejected from a vehicle on Interstate 95; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Adams is highly respected by his peers and is referred to as being quiet, dependable and confident. He is well known for his passion and thoroughness; and

WHEREAS, throughout his remarkable career in Fire & Emergency Management Services, spanning more than 18 years, Bruce G. Adams has served the citizens of the County to the utmost of his ability demonstrating devotion to Fire Services and Public Safety in a manner bespeaking the dedication of a true public servant. Mr. Adam's institutional knowledge will be irreplaceable and will be sorely missed by the Fire & EMS department, the County and its citizens;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors and the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, does hereby commend Bruce G. Adams for a total of 18 years and 3 months of dedicated service to the County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That the Board wishes to express their sincere gratitude for his commitment to the County and its citizens and extends to Bruce G. Adams much happiness as he enters the next phase of his life.

R-20-164D

C-6.

RESOLUTION: COMMENDATION;
DEPUTY SHERIFF EDWIN M. BETTS, III;
SERVICE TO PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY

WHEREAS, on November 1, 2004, Edwin M. Betts, III began his employment with the County of Prince George as a Deputy Sheriff and continued in this capacity until he retired on November 1, 2020; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Betts served as a coordinator for the National Project Life Saver Program which provided bracelets for the residents of Prince George County who were diagnosed with Alzheimer's or Autism. If the person wandered away, the Sheriff's office would respond to the area where they were last known to be and track their direction of travel in order to locate them and bring them back to safety; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Betts also served as a coordinator for the home incarceration program for non-violent offenders which allowed them to serve their time at their residence by paying the county a fee to participate in the program. This presented an opportunity to save the

taxpayers money since the County would not have to pay for the offender to be detained at Riverside Regional Jail; and

WHEREAS, throughout his remarkable career in Law Enforcement, Edwin M. Betts III has served the citizens of the County and the Commonwealth to the utmost of his ability, and has been devoted to Law Enforcement and Public Safety in a manner bespeaking the dedication of a true public servant. Mr. Betts' institutional knowledge will be irreplaceable and will be sorely missed by the Sheriff's Department, the County and its citizens;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 12th day of November 2020, does hereby commend Deputy Sheriff Edwin M. Betts, III for completion of 16 years of dedicated service to the County and its citizens; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board wishes to extend to Deputy Sheriff Edwin M. Betts, III much happiness and continued success as he enters the next phase of his life.

R-20-164E

C-7.

RESOLUTION; APPROPRIATION AND TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY (\$29,600 FEMA PORT AUTHORITY GRANT FUNDS AND TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY \$9,867 FOR LOCAL MATCH)

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, does hereby authorize the following increase of funds within the 2020-2021 Budget, such line items increased as follows, which monies shall be expended for purposes authorized and approved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George:

<u>FUND/ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
GENERAL FUND	
<u>Expenditure:</u>	
0100-03-100-0602-46114 Port Authority Grant Expenditures	\$39,467.00
0100-09-401-0917-49199 General Fund Contingency	(\$9,867.00)
 <u>Revenue:</u>	
0100-30-601-8201-330190 Port Authority Grant Revenues	\$29,600.00

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

PRESENTATIONS

S-1. Resolution; Commendation; Jacob Lively; Attainment of Eagle Scout Award. Mrs. Waymack presented the commendation to Jacob Lively in the presence of his family.

S-2. Resolution; Commendation; Jonathan McGee; Attainment of Eagle Scout Award. Mr. Hunter presented the commendation to Jonathan McGee in the presence of his family.

S-3. Resolution; Commendation; Reece Weber; Attainment of Eagle Scout Award. Mr. Hunter presented the commendation to Reece Weber in the presence of his family.

S-4. Resolution; Commendation; Fire-Medic Bruce Adams; Service to Prince George County. Mrs. Waymack presented the commendation to Bruce Adams in the presence of his family and co-workers.

SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS

Mrs. Waymack stated that she and Mr. Brown have been virtually attending the Annual VACO Conference. She stated that the Governor gave a positive update and it was very well received. In addition, Mr. Jeff Stoke gave a broadband update that was very well received. They also had a presentative regarding solar and the State budget.

Mr. Brown added that the conference was really good. In addition, Mr. Brown stated that he will be having another eye surgery and will be out of pocket on November 17 and 18.

Chairman Hunter stated that he is grateful that Mrs. Waymack and Mr. Brown were able to attend the VACO conference and looks forward to any information they may be able to share with the Board.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

P-1. SPECIAL EXCEPTION SE-19-11: Request of Warwick PV1, LLC, pursuant to § 90-103 (57) to permit a large-scale solar energy facility in a R-A, Residential—Agricultural Zoning District for a 60 MW Solar Energy Facility. The request is located along Alden and Arwood Road in the Templeton Magisterial District on 1,071 +/- acres and known as Tax Maps 540(0A)00-042-0, 540(0A)00-043-0, 540(0A)00-049-0, 54A(01)0C-001-0, 54A(01)0C-002-0, 540(0A)00-058-0, 550(0A)00-008-0, 550(0A)00-009-0, 540(0A)00-041-A, 540(0A)00-041-B, 540(0A)00-041-C, 540(0A)00-050-0, 540(0A)00-052-0, 540(0A)00-053-0, 540(0A)00-054-0, 540(0A)00-038-0, 540(0A)00-039-0, 540(0A)00-040-0, 550(0A)00-013-0, and 550(0A)00-013-A. Mr. Horace Wade stated that Warwick PV1 LLC is proposing a 60 MW large-scale solar energy facility on 20 combined parcels totaling 1,071 acres in a Residential-Agricultural Zoning District. The County Zoning Ordinance permits an applicant to make an application for a Special Exception for a large-scale solar energy facility. The applicant anticipates the project will encompass no more than 535 acres of the overall 1,071 acres with approximately 95,832 individual panels placed in rows and set on a single axis-tracking system. In addition to the solar panels, the equipment proposed on site are 15 inverters located throughout the project area, a 200-foot by 200-foot substation, which will act as point of interconnection, utility poles, fencing, and proposed vegetative buffers. Electric wiring, wherever possible, will be buried. The solar panels will be placed on in aluminum frames and attached to steel posts and driven into the ground. The only concrete proposed for the site will be under inverters and similar equipment associated with the substation. The applicants held a community meeting with adjacent property owners on August 21, 2019. The concerns of the community were the visibility impact of the solar panels from adjoining properties and the

possibility of declining property values as a result of the solar-energy facility. To respond to this concern, the applicant provided an appraisal report showing the solar facility as developed would not negatively impact the adjacent property values. For the concerns about the visual impact, the applicant updated the design and moved the areas of the site located behind those properties to at least 1,500 feet from the rear property lines. In addition, the applicant added a berm and large landscape buffer and have added visual renderings. In order to achieve the design update, the applicant had to search for additional property to put under lease option on the east side. By increasing the total amount of project area, the applicant stated they had to work with what they had to be a good neighbor and remove racking where it was in the viewshed of neighbors while still keeping the project viable. The project will have minimal impacts on the rural nature of the County and the immediate area. Environmental features will be preserved and utilized as wildlife corridors where possible. At the end of the life of this special exception project (estimated 35 years) all equipment will be removed and the land will be able to return to its current use. A decommissioning plan with site specific decommissioning estimates to this effect has been included in the application submittal. The Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map identifies this area, including the request properties and surrounding properties, as appropriate for Agricultural and Neighborhood Commercial uses. Regarding roadways, the applicant is using and enhancing an existing logging road on Alden Road adjacent to the Railroad that runs parallel to HWY 460. This is where the majority of construction traffic is planned. This road will be enhanced and a VDOT Driveway Permit will be obtained prior to the start of construction. The second planned site entrance will be located along Alden Road where there is an existing driveway on parcel 550(0A)00-013-0. This driveway will be enhanced and a VDOT driveway permit will be procured. This driveway will not be used heavily during construction to avoid passing residences. Based on discussions with other County departments, Planning & Zoning staff recommends that conditions for the Special Exception should consider the surrounding parcels and should address: rollback taxes, site plan requirements, buffering, structure height, and decommissioning.

Ms. Julie Walton, Director of Community Development and Deputy County Administrator, addressed the applicant's policy provisions and the screening and buffering provisions. She stated that the Solar Energy Facility Siting Policy requires a minimum of 100-foot setback from all exterior property lines, 200-foot from all properties zoned R-A, 200-foot setback from all exterior property lines for inverters, and a 500-foot setback from all exterior property lines for any required substations. The policy also requires a 50-foot planted buffer. The applicant has agreed to all setback requirements in the Solar Energy Facility Policy, with the exception of (1) where the property abuts the Norfolk Southern Railroad to the northern project boundary, and (2) where the property is adjacent to a timber site of Tax Map 550(0A)00-012-0 owned by SFT Forestland LLC. In this area, the applicant seeks the setback requirement to be reduced from a 200-foot setback to a 100-foot setback. The applicant has agreed to a 50-foot wide vegetative buffer as required per policy. Setbacks for inverters will be at least 200 feet from all external property lines. Setbacks for a substation in conjunction with the solar energy facility will be a minimum of 500 feet from all external property lines. The applicant appropriately addresses perimeter fencing by proposing to use a 7-foot tall security fence without barbed wire to mitigate harm to wildlife and to lessen the institutional feeling associated with barbed wire. The applicant has considered that the project has the potential to impact wildlife movement and is proposing planned fence breaks in six (6) separate locations to accommodate the movement of wildlife

through the site. In addition, Ms. Walton talked about the total projected revenue for the County from the life of the project. This project is estimated to generate approximately \$4.67 million in revenue over 35 years. An amended \$250,000 proffer statement is included for County Fire and EMS equipment. On February 27, 2020 the Planning Commission recommended approval to the Board of Supervisors 5-1-1 with one member abstaining due to personal conflict. The public hearing was held on January 23, 2020 and there were five (5) property owners that provided input in the public hearing. The Planning Commission postponed the case until February 27, 2020 to provide the Commission time to review the case against the proposed solar energy facility policy. On February 27, 2020 the Planning Commission received revisions from the applicant and also recommended approval of the solar energy facility policy. The request has been reviewed by consultants of the Rural Solar Development Coalition. The application was received prior to the adoption of the Solar Facility Siting Policy, however, the applicant has voluntarily amended the application to comply with the policy. Staff recommended approval subject to the revised conditions. Most of those conditions come straight out of the siting policy. Mrs. Waymack asked if battery storage is included in this request, seeing that it is a fire hazard. Ms. Walton said that she is current working with the Coalition on a whole separate policy on battery storage.

Chairman Hunter opened the public hearing at 7:45 p.m.

Mike Fox (Ecoplexus Representative). Mr. Fox provided the Board with a sworn statement from the Engineer who could not be present, regarding the health and safety of this project. Mr. Fox stated that the applicant has embraced the policy changes and has worked very hard to be compliant with said policy.

Phillip Martin (Director of Community Engagement, Ecoplexus). Mr. Martin stated that Ecoplexus develops, owns, and operates utility-scale solar photovoltaic projects in the 10-300 MW range, both in the U.S. and internationally. This particular project is proposed as 60 MW of AC power with 375 acres inside the fence line. Mr. Martin talked about the neighborhood meeting on August 21 at the Disputanta Community Center and stated that the applicant has revised its application to adhere to the Prince George County Solar Siting Policy. After going over the previously mentioned buffers and setbacks, he added that entrances would only be located on Alden/Warwick Rd. Absolutely no traffic during construction or operation on Arwood Rd. There will be no permanent proposed lighting on site and the solar panels will have a non-reflective finish and pose no threat to vehicle or aircraft traffic. He stated that very limited grading will be required on this site with an estimated <9 acres of cut/fill grading. They will be using pollinator species similar to VDOT's pollinator program and it will be planted during first phase of construction to aid in sediment and erosion control. He talked about the revenue this project will create for the County. He talked about their decommission plan and procedure by which the facility operator shall remove the facility and restore the property to previous conditions at the end of its useful life. The Applicant is requesting the Board allow the net decommissioning costs, rather than gross decommissioning costs, to be considered in the determination of financial surety for this facility. If the net decommissioning costs ever become negative, the Applicant will increase the value of the financial surety and increase the estimate updates to every three years moving forward. The construction of this project is an estimated nine to eleven months.

Rich Kirkland (Licensed Appraiser Representing Applicant). Mr. Kirkland stated that he has looked at over 700 solar farms in the past ten years. He performs a pair sales analysis which is a simple process where you look at properties or homes by a solar farm and compare them to similar properties or homes that are not near a solar farm. He has done this Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina, New Jersey, and Kentucky. He stated that he is consistently finding that there is no impact on adjoining property value. He stated that this is a very typical site where solar farms are being facilitated all across Virginia.

Rick Tetterton (9750 Blackwater Farm Lane). Mr. Tetterton is a real estate agent and broker in this area. He stated that he is not against this project or solar farms in general. However, he stated that he does dispute Mr. Kirkland's statement on appraised values. He stated that the market is narrowed and home values are lowered in this area for property adjacent to large power lines. He would suspect that solar farms would not be any different. He used Saltsburg Subdivision as an example where two lots adjacent to the power lines are \$15,000 less in value than the others.

Mary Jo Tison (15303 Arwood Road). Ms. Tison spoke against the project as she stated that her property will be surrounded by this project. She stated that this projects does not comply with the County's Comprehensive Plan since this is not a safe project that promotes public health. She stated that this should not be a special exception. This is a rezoning issue. She asked the Board to be there for the citizens, not the applicant. She stated that she is afraid she will catch on fire. She said the applicant will make tons of money and she will be surrounded by black glass and toxins. She told the Board not to be unlawful and do it the correct way through a rezoning.

John Doran (15311 Arwood Road). Mr. Doran urged the Board to oppose this as it will not be prosperity for everyone. He stated that a house on Rives Road sold for \$80,000 under market and there is a lawsuit going on in Culpeper due to home values going down because of solar farms. He stated that the screening is based on flat property and that property is not flat. He asked the Board to come see it.

Anna Fraraccio (15315 Arwood Road). Ms. Fraraccio stated that she too does not believe that this project is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Vision Statement says that Prince George County will continue to develop and implement plans and strategies that will facilitate its ability to adapt to the demands of future conditions while continuing to retain its traditions, heritage and sense of community. It also states that Prince George County has a large number of significant historic resources, including structures, which reflect the architectural culture of the past. These historic resources include houses, churches and farm buildings as well as sites and other large areas where significant historic events occurred. She showed the Board a copy of the cover of a pamphlet from Williamsburg, which displays a building that was once on her property. It was part of an architectural tour. She stated that her house is listed as historical because it is 100+ years old. She stated that these are all reasons why this is the complete opposite of what is stated in the Comprehensive Plan. She also stated that there is a map in the Comprehensive Plan that clearly show their area agricultural as it talks about preserving agricultural land. She stated that she does not understand how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan. She quoted Mr. Hunter from the Progress-Index stating that "It is sad that

Rives Road is the first one that the County did and that one has shown us what it has shown us. It makes you very hesitant about the solar farms and what they have to offer.”

James L. Thacker, Jr. (13407 Queen Street, Disputanta). Mr. Thacker stated that he is one of the landowners of the proposed solar facility. He is in favor of the project. He stated that the applicant has been working with County since June of 2019 and has addressed the requirements of the new Solar Energy Facility Siting Policy. He urged the Board to approve this special exception request.

William Steele (9925 County Line Road). Mr. Steele stated that he and his family are opposed to this special exception because it fails to comply with the definition of special exception as written in the County's own Code Section 90-1. He stated that the citizens expect the Board to uphold the Code. He stated that the citizens worked hard on this solar policy and the applicant is not complying with all of it. He told the Board that they must reject the special exception and have the applicant hold the two meetings that are required in the policy. Mr. Steele called the proffer a bribe and accused the Board of being unlawful if they accept it.

Bryant L. Mormon (15217 Arwood Road). Mr. Mormon is a retired Marine veteran of 22 years. He is permanently disabled due to an injury in Iraq. He works with emotional support dogs for veterans. He stated that since the trees have been removed, he has had about five coyote sitings on his property and has to keep the pups inside. He stated that he has extreme PTSD and has given the country his all. He does not need this added stress.

Carmen Lathrop (4308 Branchester Parkway). Ms. Lathrop owns property on Alden Road. She is concerned about the EMF radiation, and would like to know how far it can travel and how much will it penetrate into the soil. In addition, are homeowners and property owners going to be compensated for depreciation of their property.

Lee Hixon (6851 Lake Road). Mr. Hixon spoke against the project. He stated that the definition of special exception in the County Code is contradictory. He too thinks it should be considered a rezoning, not a special exception. This is not a compatible land use in that area. He is also concerned about the amount of panels that are now being proposed and the decommissioning proposal.

There was no one else to speak and the public hearing was closed at 8:28 p.m.

The following comments were made electronically prior to the Board meeting and will be part of record:

William Stacy (10225 County Line Road). As a resident of Disputanta, I am firmly against allowing the building of a solar farm. 1. There will be the loss of prime farmland forever. 2. Loss of habitat there are bald eagles in the area. 3. With the number of storms we have in the area it's only a matter of time before the panels are damaged and release toxic chemicals into the swamp area. The environmental impacts associated with solar power are land and water use and pollution, habitat loss, and use of highly hazardous materials in the manufacturing process. Unlike with wind power, sharing the land for agriculture uses is not an option. Solar power also

affects land use when it comes to mining and production of materials needed to produce photovoltaics. Among the compounds found in solar panels is cadmium and lead, extremely toxic metals. There are a number of other toxic and hazardous materials used in the production of solar panels including gallium arsenide, copper-indium-gallium-diselenide, hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, nitric acid, hydrogen fluoride, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and acetone. Once damaged the ground water may never be the same. 4. Property values going down. The overwhelming studies that state there is little impact are fully funded by the solar panel industry and are very dubious at best. Would you want to live beside a 1000 acres of solar panels? 5. Solar panels and current storage is extremely inefficient. Are you willing to take a chance on the health safety and happiness of all the residents in the area?

Mr. David Henry (15679 Branielle Lane). I'd like to know more about where the power generated from the solar energy facility planned along Arwood Road will be used.

1. Will the energy generated by the facility stay within the Prince George County lines?
2. How does this impact Prince George Coop and its members?

Kirit Mehta (11505 Continental Forest Drive). Regarding solar panel site for re zoning request: We moved to Prince George county in 1990. One attraction for moving here was to enjoy the rural beauty of the landscape. I have seen solar panel site located on Lamore Drive. This is not a site I want to see in our County anywhere. This plan covers 500 acres of pristine land site that will be ruined forever. Why do we do this? Is the motivation more tax dollars for the county? Is the revenue going to reduce our county taxes or spent on more wasteful expenses? I don't see any rationale in ruining this beautiful site and affect negatively to home values in the neighborhood. Think of all 39 neighborhoods and beyond who will be impacted with this re zoning. I hope County will be wise not to grant exception now or in future. Thank you!

Mr. Brown asked the County Attorney to speak to the claims that this should be considered a rezoning and not a special exception. Mr. Dan Whitten, County Attorney, stated that according to Virginia Code Section 15.2 2288.8, it states that any locality may grant a special exception and include in a zoning ordinance reasonable regulations and provisions for a special exception for any solar photoaltaic project. It is actually specifically allowed under the Virginia Code. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael to approve the special exception as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

O-20-24

P-1.

SPECIAL EXCEPTION SE-19-11: Request of Warwick PV1, LLC, pursuant to § 90-103 (57) to permit a large-scale solar energy facility in a R-A, Residential—Agricultural Zoning District for a 60 MW Solar Energy Facility. The request is located along Alden and Arwood Road in the Templeton Magisterial District on 1,071 +/- acres and known as Tax Maps 540(0A)00-042-0, 540(0A)00-043-0, 540(0A)00-049-0, 54A(01)0C-001-0, 54A(01)0C-002-0, 540(0A)00-058-0, 550(0A)00-008-0, 550(0A)00-009-0, 540(0A)00-041-A, 540(0A)00-041-B, 540(0A)00-041-C, 540(0A)00-050-0, 540(0A)00-052-0, 540(0A)00-053-0, 540(0A)00-054-0,

540(OA)00-038-0, 540(OA)00-039-0, 540(OA)00-040-0, 550(OA)00-013-0, and 550(OA)00-013-A.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Prince George County that the Special Exception Application identified as SE-19-11 is granted as an amendment to the official zoning map with the following conditions:

1. This Special Exception is granted for a large-scale solar energy facility use to Warwick PV1, LLC and is located on Tax Maps 540(OA)00-042-0, 540(OA)00-043-0, 540(OA)00-049-0, 54A(01)0C-001-0, 54A(01)0C-002-0, 540(OA)00-058-0, 550(OA)00-008-0, 550(OA)00-009-0, 540(OA)00-041-A, 540(OA)00-041-B, 540(OA)00-041-C, 540(OA)00-050-0, 540(OA)00-052-0, 540(OA)00-053-0, 540(OA)00-054-0, 540(OA)00-038-0, 540(OA)00-039-0, 540(OA)00-040-0, 550(OA)00-013-0, and 550(OA)00-013-A. This Special Exception may be transferred provided that Condition 11(b) is met relative to the proper surety.
2. Limitation of a total use of 668 acres on parcels listed above for the large-scale solar energy facility for buffering and setback requirements, solar panels, and fencing, and subject to the concept plan dated September 16, 2020. Acreage dedicated to solar panels shall be limited to a total 375 acres.
3. Payment of all rollback taxes for parcels enrolled in the Land Use program shall be a pre-condition of the County's issuance of a land disturbance permit pursuant to a site plan prepared for the solar energy facility.
4. Site Plan Requirements. In addition to all State and County site plan requirements, the Applicant shall provide the following plans for review and approval as a part of the site plan for the solar energy facility prior to the issuance of a building permit:
 - a. Construction Management Plan. The applicant shall prepare a Construction Management Plan for each applicable site plan for the solar energy facility, which shall address the following:
 - i. Construction Traffic Management Plan including mitigation measures shall be developed by the applicant, owner or operator and shall be submitted to the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and Planning Division for review and approval. The Plan shall address traffic control measures, pre-and post-construction road evaluation, and any necessary repairs to the public roads that are required as a result of any damage from the solar energy facility construction and/or expansion. All VDOT permits must be received and be approved by VDOT prior to site construction occurring on the premises.
 - ii. Site access plan directing employee and delivery traffic to minimize conflicts with local traffic.
 - iii. A site parking and staging plan shall be submitted as a part of the Site Plan approval and be submitted for various stages of the site construction process. All subsequent construction processes shall also adhere to submitting a

parking and staging plan prior to the commencement for expansion or decommissioning.

- iv. Fencing. The applicant shall install temporary security fencing prior to the commencement of construction activities occurring on the solar energy facility.
 - v. Lighting. During construction of the solar energy facility, any temporary construction lighting shall be positioned downward, inward, and shielded to eliminate glare onto all adjacent properties.
- b. Construction Mitigation Plan. The applicant shall prepare a Construction Mitigation Plan for each applicable site plan for the solar energy facility to the satisfaction of the Planning Division. Each plan shall address, at a minimum:
- i. The effective mitigation of dust. All construction roads and construction areas shall remain dust-free by the use of a water truck or other approved method to keep sediment on the premises and not be of a general nuisance to the adjoining property owners during site construction and/or site expansion for a solar energy facility.
 - ii. Burning operations. Burning operations must follow all local and state burning restrictions and distances from property lines and combustibles. Must address smoke migration so as to not be of a general nuisance to adjoining property owners during burning operations.
 - iii. Hours of construction. All pile driving shall be limited to eight (8) hours daily during the hour from sunrise to sunset Monday through Saturday. No Sunday pile driving shall occur during site construction, expansion, or operation of the facility. All other normal on-site construction activity is permitted Monday through Sunday in accordance with the provisions of the County Noise Ordinance, as amended from time to time, and as enforced by the Prince George County Police Department.
 - iv. Access and road damage. Must address mitigation of all damage, dirt and debris on roads as a result of traffic generated by the solar energy facility construction.
 - v. General construction complaints. Provide contact information of responsible project manager capable of causing corrections to be made at the site. Receipt of complaints shall be acknowledged by the project manager within 24 hours and addressed, at a minimum with an acceptable plan of action, within 72 hours of receipt.
- c. Grading Plan. The owner or operator shall construct, maintain, and operate the project in accordance with the approved County Grading and Erosion and Sediment

(E&S) Control Plans. An E&S bond or letter of credit will be posted for the construction portion of the project. The grading plan shall:

- i. Clearly show existing and proposed contours;
 - ii. Note the locations and amount of topsoil to be removed (if any) and the percent of the site to be graded;
 - iii. Limit grading to the greatest extent practicable by avoiding steep slopes and lay out arrays parallel to landforms;
 - iv. Require an earthwork balance to be achieved on-site with no import or export of soil, unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Planning Division that doing so would create more clearing and grading than by allowing the import or export of soil; and
 - v. Require topsoil to first be stripped from areas proposed to be permanent access roads which will receive gravel, or in areas where more than a few inches of cut are required, and require an onsite stockpile to be used later to increase the fertility of areas intended to be seeded.
- d. Solar Facility Screening and Vegetation Plan. The owner or operator shall construct, maintain, and operate the facility in compliance with the approved plan. A separate surety shall be posted for the ongoing maintenance of the project's vegetative buffers in the amount of 120% of the installation cost of all planted vegetation for three (3) years following the date that power is supplied to the electrical grid.
- i. Site groundcover for the solar energy facility shall consist of a variety of native groundcovers that benefit birds, and bees, and other beneficial insects.
 - ii. Groundcover shall be expeditiously established following the completion of construction activities to minimize erosion and loss of soil.
 - iii. Use of synthetic herbicides to control and maintain groundcover post-construction shall not be permitted.
- e. The design, installation, maintenance, and repair of the solar energy facility shall be in accordance with the most current National Electrical Code (NFPA 70).

5. Operations (Post-Construction).

- a. Permanent Security Fence. The applicant shall install a permanent security fence, consisting of chain link, 2-inch square mesh (or comparable fencing), 7 feet in height, around the Solar Facility prior to the commencement of operations of the Solar Energy Facility. Failure to maintain the fence in a good and functional condition will result in revocation of the special exception. The security fence shall be placed no closer than the required setback for the facility as stated in Section 6. Buffers.

- b. Lighting. Any on-site lighting shall be dark-sky compliant, shielded away from adjacent properties, and positioned downward to minimize light spillage onto adjacent properties.
 - c. Noise. Daytime noise generated by the facility post-construction will be under an average 67 dBA per day, measured at the property line, throughout the day with no noise emissions at night; provided, however, the operator may seek temporary waivers from the Planning Division for specific repair or maintenance needs.
 - d. Ingress/Egress. Permanent access roads and parking areas will be stabilized with gravel, asphalt, or concrete to minimize dust and impacts to adjacent properties.
 - e. All newly installed utilities including but not limited to, electric, fiber, cable, and telephone lines serving the site which are visible from the ground-level view of adjacent properties zoned residential, agricultural and/or PUD Planned Unit Development, dwellings not owned by the owner of the subject property, and public rights-of-way, shall be screened from view or shall be placed underground, unless prohibited by the state/federal agency regulating them.
 - f. All solar energy facility structures, racks, and associated facilities shall have a non-reflective finish or appearance. Silicon based panels shall be used; cadmium-based panels are prohibited. The solar collectors shall be designed to maximize absorption and minimize glare outward towards adjoining properties and upward towards military and general aviation aircraft or other similar aircraft. Vehicles traveling on adjoining interstate and state maintained roads shall also be protected from potential glare, including elevated tractor trailer cabs.
6. Buffers.
- a. Setbacks.
 - i. A minimum 200-foot setback, which includes a 50-foot planted buffer as described in 6(b), shall be maintained from a principal Solar Energy Facility structure to the edge of the public right-of-way.
 - ii. A minimum 200-foot-setback, which includes a 50-foot planted buffer as described in 6(b), shall be maintained from a principal Solar Energy Facility structure to any adjoining property line which is a perimeter boundary line for the project area.
 - iii. A minimum 100-foot-setback located at the northern project boundary, which includes a 50-foot planted buffer as described in 6(b), shall be maintained from a principal Solar Energy Facility structure to the land abutting the Norfolk Southern Railroad.

the applicant or its successor would remove the solar energy facility after the end of its useful life and to restore the property for prior or future usage consistent with the Comprehensive Plan or future zoning. If the solar energy facility is inactive completely or substantially discontinuing the delivery of electricity to an electrical grid for a continuous twenty-four (24) month period, it shall be considered abandoned. The applicant, owner or operator shall provide notice to Prince George County in writing once the property becomes inactive as a solar energy facility use. The decommissioning of the site shall commence within six (6) months of receipt of such notice from the applicant, owner or operator by Prince George County. This shall be known as the "Decommissioning Plan" under Zoning Ordinance Section 90-16 (ii) (e) which shall include the following:

- i. Anticipated life of the solar energy facility project;
 - ii. The estimated cost of the decommissioning in the future as expressed in current dollars by a State licensed professional engineer;
 - iii. Method estimate was determined;
 - iv. The manner in which the project will be decommissioned; and
 - v. The name and physical address of the person or entity responsible for the decommissioning plan and a performance bond for the life of the use.
- b. Surety. Unless the solar energy facility project is owned by a public utility within the Commonwealth of Virginia, the net costs of decommissioning shall be secured by an adequate surety in a form agreed to by the County Attorney, including but not limited to a letter of credit, cash or a guarantee by an investment grade entity, posted within thirty (30) days of the project receiving its occupancy permit or equivalent from Prince George County to operate the use. If an adequate surety is required, the cost estimates of the decommissioning shall be updated at least every five (5) years by the applicant, owner or operator, and provided to the County. If the solar energy facility is sold to an entity that is not a public utility, the Special Exception shall not transfer to the purchaser until such time as adequate surety is provided for the solar energy facility. At its option, the County may require that a surety amount be increased based upon the net cost of decommissioning the use as approved by the County Attorney.
- c. Applicant/Property Owner Obligation. Within six (6) months after the cessation of use of the solar energy facility for electrical power generation or transmission, the applicant or its successor, at its sole cost and expense, shall decommission the solar energy facility in accordance with the decommissioning plan approved by the County. If the applicant or its successor fails to decommission the solar energy facility within six (6) months, the property owners shall commence decommissioning activities in accordance with the decommissioning plan. Following the completion of decommissioning of the entire solar energy facility arising out of a default by the applicant or its successor, any remaining surety funds held by the County shall be

distributed to the property owners in a proportion of the surety funds and the property owner's acreage ownership of the solar energy facility.

- d. Applicant/Property Owner Default; Decommissioning by the County.
 - i. If the applicant, its successor, or the property owners fail to decommission the solar energy facility within six (6) months, the County shall have the right, but not the obligation, to commence decommissioning activities and shall have access to the property, access to the full amount of the decommissioning surety, and the rights to the solar energy equipment and materials on the property.
 - ii. If applicable, any excess decommissioning surety funds shall be returned to the current owner of the property after the County has completed the decommissioning activities.
 - iii. Prior to the issuance of any permits, the applicant and the property owners shall deliver a legal instrument to the County granting the County (1) the right to access the property, and (2) an interest in the solar energy facility equipment and materials to complete the decommissioning upon the applicant's and property owner's default. Such instrument(s) shall bind the applicant and property owners and their successors, heirs, and assigns. Nothing herein shall limit other rights or remedies that may be available to the County to enforce the obligations of the applicant, including under the County's zoning powers.
- e. Equipment/Building Removal. All physical improvements, materials, and equipment related to solar energy generation, both surface and subsurface components, shall be removed following disturbance cause in the removal process. Perimeter fencing will be removed and recycled or reused.
- f. Infrastructure Removal. All access roads will be removed, including any geotextile material beneath the roads and granular material. The exception to removal of the access roads and associated culverts or their related material would be upon written request from the current or future landowner to leave all or a portion of these facilities in place for use by the landowner. Access roads will be removed within areas that were previously used for agricultural purposes and topsoil will be redistributed to provide substantially similar growing media as was present within the areas prior to site disturbance.
- g. Partial Decommissioning. Any reference to decommissioning the solar energy facility shall include the obligation to decommission all or a portion of the solar energy facility whichever is applicable with respect to a particular situation. If decommissioning is triggered for a portion, but not the entire solar energy facility, then the applicant or its successor will commence and complete decommissioning, in accordance with the decommissioning plan, for the applicable portion of the solar energy facility; the remaining portion of the solar energy facility would continue to be subject to the decommissioning plan.

12. Power Purchase Agreement. At the time of the applicant's site plan submission, the applicant shall have executed a power purchase agreement with a third-party providing for the sale of a minimum of 80% of the solar energy facility's anticipated generation capacity for not less than 10 years from commencement of operation. Upon the County's request, the applicant shall provide the County and legal counsel with a redacted version of the executed power purchase agreement.
13. Pursuant to Section 15.2-2288.8(B) of the Code of Virginia, Warwick PV1, LLC does hereby voluntarily proffer, as the applicant and which is the subject of this special exception request, that the development of the Property shall be in strict accordance with the following condition set forth in this submission:

In order to assist the County with the cost of Capital Improvements reasonably related to the project, the developer shall pay the County \$250,000 in equal payments of \$50,000 with the first payment due no later than the 60 days following the issuance of Certificate of Completion or 30 days following receipt of the Permission to Operate (PTO) letter from Dominion Energy whichever is later and for four (4) successive years. The County intends to use such payments for capital expenses to enhance County Fire & EMS equipment.

14. This Special Exception shall become null and void if the use of a large-scale solar energy facility is abandoned for a period of twenty-four (24) consecutive months.
15. This Special Exception may be revoked by Prince George County or by its designated agent for failure by the applicant, owner or operator to comply with any of the listed conditions or any provision of federal, state or local regulations.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (4) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (1) Waymack

Absent: (0)

REPORTS

Update on CARES Funding - Ms. Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator and Finance Director, stated that the County has received \$6,692,302 in CARES Funds. Federal law indicates that those funds can only be spent on necessary expenditures incurred due to COVID-19 or responding to COVID-19, including expenditures not accounted for in the adopted budgets, and expenditures that are incurred in between March 1 and December 30, 2020. Ms. Drewry provided a visual of the broad categories and how they have been utilized. The largest fund is the School System which has received 32%. The next largest is business and non-profit relief which has received 25.5%. Coronavirus prevention, equipment and supplies has received 21.89%. The County has provided citizen relief at 11.46%. The rest went towards compensation at 9.08% and a small contingency of .10%. The School Division received a \$2.1 million allocation and the vast majority of that has been used for virtual learning, a summer lunch program, Rowanty, child care services, and for the purchase of cleaning supplies. To date, Countywide about \$3.5 million has been expended with another \$2.2 million in purchase order

obligations. All of the small business grants have been distributed to 67 businesses for a total of \$1.7 million. Thirty-eight businesses could not be funded because the funding was exhausted. Social Services has received 343 applications and 258 families have been assisted with 3 pending verification and 82 denied. The total amount allocated thus far has been over \$577,000. These families are being assisted with rent/mortgage, food, clothing/personal care, boys hair cuts, girls salon services, electricity, and garbage services. Ms. Drewry stated that the first responders have received their first round of hazard pay of \$1.70 per hour. It will be paid out retroactive to March 1 at \$1.70 per hour for hours worked in three increments, October 15, December 15, and December 31. To date, \$2.5 million has been spent on community relief initiatives. In addition, other moneys were designated to the local food bank, outreach of mobile home parks, and a pet food distribution effort. There was a \$2.1 million allocation to the School Division with all but \$16,000 expended to date. Technology initiatives were estimated to be at about \$214,000 and \$98,000 has been spent and obligated to date. There was also a variety of cleaning and protective health measures and employee compensation and benefits. Other monies have been allocated for Courthouse needs in the amount of \$40,396.89, Sheriff needs in the amount of \$67,378.76, General Services/Building needs in the amount of \$50,000, and Public Safety Requests in the amount of \$768,383.78. Out of contingency to date, \$200 has been spent and obligated.

General Assembly Update – Mr. Dan Whitten, County Attorney, gave the most recent update on the General Assembly. Mr. Whitten went over a list of bills that will be effective February 1, 2021, most of which were regarding law enforcement. These bills included HB5029 regarding failure to intervene in an excessive use of force; HB5043 regarding mental health awareness response; HB5045 regarding carnal knowledge of a person detained or arrested by a law-enforcement officer; HB5051 regarding decertification of law-enforcement officer; HB5062 regarding court authority in criminal cases; HB5069 regarding law-enforcement officers prohibition on the use of neck restraints; HB5072 regarding law-enforcement misconduct; HB509 regarding hate crime and falsely summoning or giving false report to law-enforcement officials; HB5099 regarding search warrants and providing notice of authority; HB5104 regarding minimum qualifications for law-enforcement officer and disclosure of information; SB5014 regarding minimum training standards for law-enforcement officers and crisis intervention team training; SB5030 regarding policing reform and additional requirements; HB5093 regarding emergency services and disaster law, powers and duties of the Governor, and executive orders; SB5106 regarding local land use approvals and extension of approvals to address the COVID-19 pandemic; HB5052 regarding legal holidays, specifically Juneteenth; SB5090 regarding the publication of information related to COVID-19; and HB5047 regarding Virginia Post-Disaster and Anti-Price Gouging Act for manufacturers and distributors. The following bill have an effective date of July 1, 2021: HB5055 regarding law-enforcement civilian oversight bodies; and SB5007 regarding criminal cases and sentencing reform. The following bills were effective on passage: SB5120 regarding appropriation at the Department of Elections; HB5059 regarding hospices, certain home care organization for immunity from civil liability on COVID-19; HB 5087 regarding unemployment compensation, short-time compensation; sunset repeal and emergency; HB5041 regarding nursing homes, certified nursing facilities and hospices on visitation and public health emergency; and HB5048 regarding outbreaks of communicable disease of public health threat.

VDOT – Ms. Crystal Smith of the Virginia Department of Transportation stated that the crews have continued to focus on drainage concerns over the last 30 days. They are also addressing tree-trimming, pothole patching, sign installations and debris clean-up. They are preparing for potential flooding with the next round of rain coming. The final cuts for season on both primary and secondary roads have been completed. There are several traffic studies still outstanding. It will likely be the beginning of next year before they are completed. There is a scheduled four-weekend closure for the Benjamin Harrison Bridge. The Marl Bank drainage project is moving forward. Once the bids are received, that project will start right away. Mr. Webb thanked Ms. Smith for the farm signs on Laurel Road. Mr. Brown stated that he will be reaching out to her regarding some issues on Arwood Road. Ms. Smith asked the Board if they want to transfer \$10,000 that was leftover from two previous drainage projects to the Marl Bank project. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown to transfer \$10,000 to the Marl Bank project. Roll was called on the motion.

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael
Opposed: (0)
Absent: (0)

TABLED ITEMS

T-2. Resolution Accepting Land Exchange Agreement Between the County and James R. Jones. Mr. Dan Whitten, County Attorney, recommended that this item be postponed to December 8, 2020. Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb, to postpone the matter to December 8. Roll was called on the motion.

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael
Opposed: (0)
Absent: (0)

ORDER OF BUSINESS

A-1. Resolution; Award of Term Contracts for Architectural and Engineering Services and Project Management Services. Mr. Michael Purvis, Director of General Services, stated that a “Request for Proposals” (RFP-21-04-0504) for **Architectural and Engineering Services and Project Management Services** was issued on August 27, 2020 and closed on September 24, 2020. This RFP was issued to streamline the procurement process for A&E Services needed for small projects throughout the year. This A&E term contract concept will allow General Services and other County Departments to pull from a qualified pool of A&E firms who have the necessary experience and qualifications, as well as having necessary licenses, SCC filings and certificates of insurance on file. Twenty (20) responsive proposals were received. The proposals were evaluated by County staff from the departments of General Services, Community Development & Code Compliance, and Parks & Recreation. Individual team members evaluated and scored each of the proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP, and compiled the scores. Seven firms were interviewed and awards of term contracts are being recommended for the top three firms, DJG, Inc., Moseley Architects, and Guernsey Tingle. The term, if approved, is for a one-year period. This contract may be renewed by the County for four (4) years at successive one-year periods under the terms and

conditions of the original contract. These services are for the County to use for projects less than \$150,000 in accordance with the *Code of Virginia*. Additionally, the sum of all projects performed in a one-year contract term, for a single provider, shall not exceed \$750,000. Any individual awards for specific projects in excess of \$50,000 will be provided to the Board for approval. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to authorize County Administrator to execute contracts with the top 3 firms: DJG, Inc.; Moseley Architects; and Guernsey Tingle. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-165

A-1.

RESOLUTION; AWARDS OF TERM CONTRACTS FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES

WHEREAS, A "Request for Proposals" (RFP) for Architectural and Engineering Services and Project Management Services was issued on August 27, 2020 with a closing date of September 24, 2020 and twenty responses were received; and

WHEREAS, The proposals were evaluated by County Staff from the departments of Community Development & Code Compliance, Parks & Recreation, and General Services. Individual team members evaluated and scored each of the proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP, and interviewed seven of the responding contractors; and

WHEREAS, Staff recommends awards of three (3) term contracts for Architectural and Engineering Services to DJG, Inc., Moseley Architects, and Guernsey Tingle to provide comprehensive architectural and engineering and project management services county-wide in accordance with the terms and conditions provided for in RFP #21-04-0504; and

WHEREAS, The initial term is for a one-year period and may be renewed by the County for four (4) years at successive one-year periods under the terms and conditions of the original contract. These services are for the County to use for projects less than \$150,000, and the sum of all projects performed in a one year contract term may not exceed \$750,000 for a single provider. Individual awards for specific projects in excess of \$50,000 will be provided to the Board for approval; and

NOW THEREFORE, IT BE RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisor of the County of Prince George, this 10th day of November, 2020, hereby awards term contracts to DJG, Inc., Moseley Architects, and Guernsey Tingle to provide comprehensive architectural and engineering and project management services county-wide in accordance with the terms and conditions provided for in RFP #21-04-0504.

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-2. Resolution: Award of Contract Verizon Wireless through GSA Contract #GS-35F-0119P for Purchase of Wireless Telephone Services. Mr. Clifton Young, IT Director, stated that for the past several years, the county has procured its cellular services and devices through Verizon Wireless, at Federal GSA rates. To continue utilizing these contracted rates, we are required to submit a purchase order that (1) verifies our eligibility as a government agency, and (2) authorizes the county to purchase service and devices under Net 30 terms. Our current purchasing agreement with Verizon Wireless has expired. Renewal of this purchase order is necessary to continue purchasing devices, and to make billing changes to our existing services. Staff's recommendation is to authorize the signing of this purchase order. We believe Verizon provides the best coverage/service available to Prince George County at this time, and the GSA contact rates provide cost savings for the County. Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack, to award the contract as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-166

A-2.

RESOLUTION; AWARD OF CONTRACT VERIZON WIRELESS THROUGH GSA CONTRACT #GS-35F-0119P FOR PURCHASE OF WIRELESS TELEPHONE SERVICES

WHEREAS, a national contract for wireless telephone services has been awarded to Verizon Wireless through General Services Administration (GSA) contract (#GS-35F-0119P); and

WHEREAS, the County of Prince George wishes to participate in GSA contract #GS-35F-0119P for wireless telephone services and related purchases; and

WHEREAS, Staff is requesting authorization for the County Administrator to issue a purchase order to Verizon Wireless in accordance with GSA Contract #GS-35F-0119P effective October 23, 2020 with possible renewals on federal renewal schedule.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of the Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, hereby authorizes the County Administrator to issue a purchase order to Verizon Wireless for wireless telephone services and related purchases effective October 23, 2020 with renewals in accordance with GSA Contract #GS-35F-0119P.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-3. Resolution; Award of Contract (\$62,964.86 County Fire Department and Emergency Crew Building Entrance Upgrade Projects – Dominion Lock & Security). Mr. Mike Purvis, General Services Director, stated that upgrades to the entrances of the Fire Stations

and Crew Building were included as part of the County's adopted FY2019 CIP. \$75,000 was included in the spring 2019 bond issuance and was deposited with SNAP (and remains on deposit). To date, \$12,034.60 has been expended to upgrade the entrances at Burrowsville Fire Station, but work on other station entrances has not commenced. The Board requested that non-essential capital spending be placed on hold in April 2020 allowing for time to evaluate fiscal impacts created by the pandemic. Additionally, the Board indicated they would consider moving forward with some projects following the first quarter of FY2021. Staff requests permission to move forward with entrance upgrades at Stations 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8, as the funds were already borrowed and remain on hand. The staff recommendation is to use Dominion Lock and Security under a cooperative contract [Chesterfield County (Contract #15-1174)], at a total cost of \$62,964.86. Because the total price is over \$50,000, the Board will need to formally authorize the award of contract. Funding to accommodate this project is already budgeted in the CIP fund, and no appropriation is needed. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb, to authorize the award of contract (purchase order) to Dominion Lock & Security for \$62,964.86 for completion of the entrance upgrades for Stations 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-167

A-3.

RESOLUTION; AWARD OF CONTRACT (\$62,964.86 COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT AND EMERGENCY CREW BUILDING ENTRANCE UPGRADE PROJECTS – DOMINION LOCK & SECURITY)

WHEREAS, upgrades to County the fire stations and emergency crew building entrances were included in the adopted County-Wide CIP Plan for FY2019 and funds were borrowed for such upgrades in the spring of 2019 and are currently on deposit with SNAP; and

WHEREAS, the upgrade projects are recommended for completion by Dominion Lock & Security, Inc. through a cooperative contract with Chesterfield County (Contract #15-1174); and

WHEREAS, The total cost for these entrance upgrades is \$62,964.86; and

WHEREAS, Staff is requesting authorization for the County Administrator to enter into a contract with Dominion Lock & Security, Inc. in the amount of \$62,964.86 to move forward with these entrance upgrades.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of the Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, hereby authorizes the County Administrator to execute a contract with Dominion Lock & Security, Inc. to complete the building entrance upgrades in the amount of \$62,964.86.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-5. Resolution; Authorization for a Public Hearing for State Compensation Board Funded \$500 Bonus for Sheriff and Sheriff Deputies. Ms. Drewry stated that following the 2020 Special Session, the General Assembly included language to provide funding in a one-time \$500 bonus payment effective December 1, 2020 for the Sheriff and Sheriff Deputies. The \$500 salary bonus cost and related 7.65% FICA contribution cost is applicable to positions filled and funded as of December 1, 2020 and is reimbursable by the Compensation Board at 100% for such filled and funded positions. Mrs. Waymack made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb, to approve the resolution as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-168

A-5.

RESOLUTION; AUTHORITY TO ADVERTISE STATE FUNDED \$500 BONUS FOR SHERIFF AND DEPUTIES

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board Of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020, pursuant to Section 15.2-1508 of the Code of Virginia does hereby authorize the advertisement of a public hearing for a one-time State funded \$500 bonus for the Sheriff and his Deputies.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-6. Consideration of Appointments – Board, Commissions, Committees, Authorities: Resolution of Appointment(s):

A. Resolution; Appointments (Four Members) Industrial Development Authority. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, to appoint Dr. Lillian Boyd. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-169

A-6A

RESOLUTION; APPOINTMENT – PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY – DR. LILLIAN K. BOYD

WHEREAS, Mr. F. Lewis Wyche, Jr., Board Member of the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority (IDA), submitted his resignation effective June 30, 2020; and

WHEREAS, per Prince George County Resolution R-20-100 on July 14, 2020, Dr. Lillian K. Boyd was appointed to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. Wyche; and

WHEREAS, per Code of Virginia §15.2-4904, IDA subsequent appointments shall be for terms of four years, except appointments to fill vacancies, which shall be for the unexpired terms; and

WHEREAS, since Mr. Wyche's unexpired term ends November 10, 2021, the previous Resolution R-20-100 shall be clarified to reflect that Dr. Lillian K. Boyd's IDA term shall end on November 10, 2021. Dr. Boyd was duly appointed to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Wyche between July 14, 2020 and November 10, 2021.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby clarify Resolution R-20-100 to state that Dr. Lillian K. Boyd is appointed to the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority for an unexpired term commencing July 14, 2020 and ending on November 10, 2021.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to appoint Mr. Darryl Cheek. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-169A

A-6A

**RESOLUTION; APPOINTMENT – PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY
INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY – MR. DARRYL
CHEEK**

WHEREAS, Mr. William A. Young, Board Member of the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority (IDA), submitted his resignation effective June 30, 2020; and

WHEREAS, per Prince George County Resolution R-20-100 on July 14, 2020, Mr. Darryl Cheek was appointed to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. Young; and

WHEREAS, per Code of Virginia §15.2-4904, IDA subsequent appointments shall be for terms of four years, except appointments to fill vacancies, which shall be for the unexpired terms; and

WHEREAS, since Mr. Young's unexpired term ends November 10, 2020, the previous Resolution R-20-100 shall be clarified to reflect that Mr. Darryl Cheek's IDA term shall end on November 10, 2020. Mr. Cheek was duly appointed to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Young between July 14, 2020 and November 10, 2020.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby clarify Resolution R-20-100 to

state that Mr. Darryl Cheek is appointed to the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority for an unexpired term commencing July 14, 2020 and ending on November 10, 2020.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby re-appoint Mr. Darryl Cheek to the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority to serve a term of four years commencing November 10, 2020 and ending on November 10, 2024.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack, to appoint Mr. Sterling Hunt. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-169B

A-6A

RESOLUTION; APPOINTMENT – PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY
INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY –
MR. STERLING M. HUNT, SR.

WHEREAS, Mr. James E. Cuddihy, Jr., Board Member of the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority (IDA), submitted his resignation effective June 30, 2020; and

WHEREAS, per Prince George County Resolution R-20-100 on July 14, 2020, Mr. Sterling M. Hunt, Sr. was appointed to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. Cuddihy; and

WHEREAS, per Code of Virginia §15.2-4904, IDA subsequent appointments shall be for terms of four years, except appointments to fill vacancies, which shall be for the unexpired terms; and

WHEREAS, since Mr. Cuddihy's unexpired term ends November 10, 2022, the previous Resolution R-20-100 shall be clarified to reflect that Mr. Sterling M. Hunt, Sr.'s IDA term shall end on November 10, 2022. Mr. Hunt was duly appointed to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Cuddihy between July 14, 2020 and November 10, 2022.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby clarify Resolution R-20-100 to state that Mr. Sterling Hunt, Sr. is appointed to the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority for an unexpired term commencing July 14, 2020 and ending on November 10, 2022.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to reappoint Ms. Lavern Jackson. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-170

A-6A

**RESOLUTION; ONE APPOINTMENT (FOUR-YEAR TERM) –
PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY**

WHEREAS, The term of Ms. Lavern Jackson on the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority will expire on November 10, 2020;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby reappoint Ms. Lavern Jackson to the Prince George County Industrial Development Authority to serve a term of four years commencing November 11, 2020 and ending on November 10, 2024.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

B. Resolution; Recommendation of One Appointment – Board of Equalization of Assessments. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack to recommend Mr. Lee Dixon for reappointment to the Board of Equalization of Assessments. Roll was called on the motion.

R-20-171

A-6B

**RESOLUTION; RECOMMENDATION OF ONE APPOINTMENT – BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION OF ASSESSMENTS**

WHEREAS, Mr. Lee Dixon's term expires on October 31, 2020;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 10th day of November, 2020 does hereby recommend to the Circuit Court Mr. Lee Dixon for appointment to the Board of Equalization of Assessment to serve a term beginning November 1, 2020 and ending on December 31, 2023.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Carmichael moved, seconded by Mr. Webb, to adjourn. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Brown, Webb, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

The meeting adjourned at 9:25 p.m.

[Draft Minutes prepared November 16, 2020 for consideration on November 24, 2020; adopted by unanimous vote.]

Donald R. Hunter
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Percy C. Ashcraft
County Administrator